

## APPLICATION

FOR

UNITED STATES LETTERS PATENT

TITLE: BOOSTING A SIGNAL-TO-INTERFERENCE RATIO OF A MOBILE STATION

APPLICANT: SAE-YOUNG CHUNG, DAE-YOUNG KIM, M. VEDAT  
EYUBOGLU AND GOPAL HARIKUMAR

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY EXPRESS MAIL**Express Mail Label No. EL298426317US

I hereby certify under 37 CFR §1.10 that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as Express Mail Post Office to Addressee with sufficient postage on the date indicated below and is addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231.

Date of Deposit October 12, 2001

**Signature**

Typed or Printed Name of Person Signing Certificate

# BOOSTING A SIGNAL-TO-INTERFERENCE RATIO OF A MOBILE STATION

## BACKGROUND

5 This invention relates to boosting a signal-to-interference ratio of a mobile station.

In our discussion, we use the following acronyms:

MS Mobile Station

BS Base Station

10

CDMA Code Division Multiple Access

FDMA Frequency Division Multiple Access

TDMA Time Division Multiple Access

SIR Signal to Interference Ratio

HARQ Hybrid ARQ

15 ARQ Automatic Repeat Request

GSM Groupe Speciale Mobile of the European  
Telecommunication Standards Institute.

AMPS Advanced Mobile Phone Service

20 CDMA 2000 Third generation CDMA standard for mobile  
wireless communication.

1xEV-DO 1x Evolution Data Only standard.

3GPP2 Third Generation Partnership Project 2

5 In a wireless communication system, limited available resources  
such as frequency and time are shared among the users of the  
system. As shown in figure 1, in a cellular system 10, a covered  
area is divided into cells 12, and each cell is served by a base  
station (BS) 14. To increase capacity for a given frequency  
spectrum being used, a BS's service area may be further divided  
into sectors 16, for example, three sectors, using directional  
10 antennas, as described in T.S. Rappaport, *Wireless  
Communications*. Prentice Hall, 1996. Some cellular systems, such  
as TDMA (GSM, IS-54) and FDMA (AMPS), use so-called  
frequency reuse or frequency planning to increase communication  
capacity.

15 In a frequency reuse system, the same frequency channels are  
reused in multiple cells. In figure 1, for example, all three cells 12,  
13, 15 have sectors 16, 18, 20 that bear a given letter (e.g., the  
letter "C") indicating that they use the same frequency channel.

20 Frequency reuse helps users at cell edges, for example, user 22,  
located at the edge 24 of a cell to achieve a better signal to  
interference ratio (SIR).

As more and more carrier frequencies are used for frequency reuse,  
the SIRs of the users in a given cell get better and the SIR  
distribution among users of the cell gets more even. However, the

spectral efficiency gets lower, which will result in lower total system capacity for a given total spectrum.

CDMA systems such as IS-95A/B, CDMA-2000, and 1xEV-DO incorporate maximal frequency reuse in which neighboring cells use the same carrier frequency, i.e., the reuse factor (defined as the number of frequency channels used) = 1. Different codes are used to differentiate different cells. This system yields good spectral efficiency, but the SIR distribution within a cell can be uneven depending on the location of the user.

The SIR of a user at a given location is determined by the locations and configurations (e.g., omni cell or three- sectored cell) of the cells. The SIR in turn determines the instantaneous communication rate of the user.

Recently, 3GPP2 approved a new wireless packet data air interface standard called IS-856, sometimes also referred to as 1xEV-DO. IS-856 provides the capability to support high-speed wireless Internet communication at speeds up to 2.45 Mbit/s using only 1.25 MHz spectrum.

Figure 2 shows an example, for 1xEV-DO, of the percentage distribution of forward link rates of users who are uniformly distributed geographically within a three- sectored cell. As can be seen from the figure, the lowest and highest rates, 38.4kbps and 2.4Mbps, differ by a factor of 64. This large difference in rate makes it hard to achieve an even throughput to all users in a cell, as is required for constant bit-rate applications such as voice. For data applications, a certain degree of unfairness, e.g., giving higher

Attorney Docket 12144-009001

throughput for users who are close to the BS and lower throughput for users who are far from the BS, is allowed as long as it does not violate certain fairness conditions.

Because the forward link of 1xEV-DO is TDMA, it is possible to allocate different amounts of time for each user to increase the fairness, i.e., by giving more time slots for low SIR users and fewer time slots for high SIR users. However, this will lower the throughput of the overall system because low SIR users will consume a large share of the resources. Systems designed to increase fairness tend to reduce sector throughput.

## SUMMARY

In general, in one aspect, the invention features a method that includes in a cell of a cellular wireless communication system, altering the SIR of at least one user in a sector of the cell by temporarily reducing transmissions on a forward link in at least one other sector of the cell or a sector in another cell in accordance with a pattern.

Implementations of the invention may include one or more of the following features. The pattern is organized in a sequence of time slots, and the pattern defines which of the sectors has transmissions turned on or off in each of the time slots. The pattern comprises a predetermined fixed pattern that is repeated as time passes. A current state of transmissions is determined in at least one of the sectors of the cell or a sector in another cell, and the pattern is set dynamically based on the determined state of the transmissions.

The current state of transmissions includes the scheduling status of

Attorney Docket 12144-009001

transmissions in neighboring sectors in the cell or in one or more other sectors in one or more other cells. Neighboring sectors include other sectors in the cell and sectors in some other cells. The current state of transmissions includes the transmission rates of some neighbor sectors. The current state of transmissions includes the next time slot usage. The current state of transmissions includes the forward link SIR. The current state of transmissions includes user location. The current state of transmissions includes a fairness setting. The current state of transmissions includes an application type of user and/or QoS. The transmissions are temporarily reduced by turning transmissions on and off in selected sectors according to the pattern. The pattern includes turning off transmissions in other sectors more frequently to help users having lower communication rates. A frequency reuse factor of one or higher is used in the wireless system. The wireless system comprises 1xEV-DO.

In general, in another aspect, the invention features apparatus that includes (a) wireless transmission facilities for more than one sector of a cell, and (b) control facilities connected to the wireless transmission facilities and configured to alter the SIR of at least one user in a sector of the cell by temporarily reducing transmissions on a forward link in at least one other sector of the cell or a sector in another cell in accordance with a pattern. Implementations of the invention may include one or more of the following features. The control facilities comprise sector controllers for controlling the wireless transmission facilities for the respective sectors.

In general, in another aspect, the invention features a medium bearing intelligence configured to enable a machine to effect the actions that comprise: in a cell of a cellular wireless communication system, altering the SIR of at least one user in a sector of the cell by temporarily reducing transmissions on a forward link in at least one other sector of the cell or a sector in another cell in accordance with a pattern.

In general, in another aspect, the invention features apparatus that includes a sector controller adapted to control transmissions in a sector of a cell of a wireless communication system and to communicate with other sector controllers in the cell or in one or more other cells to coordinate the turning on and off of transmissions in at least one of the sectors based on the transmission state in at least another one of the sectors.

Other advantages and features will become apparent from the following description and from the claims.

## DESCRIPTION

Figure 1 shows frequency or time reuse factor of three.

Figure 2 shows a bar chart of rate distribution for frequency or time reuse factor of one.

Figure 3 shows a bar chart of a rate distribution for frequency or time reuse factor of three.

Figure 4 shows a three-sectored base station

Here, we propose a system in which some BS's turn off their transmissions in forward links to boost the SIR of a user in a bad location and thereby achieve good rates and more even rates among users in a cell. By employing this technique, the system can achieve a more concentrated rate distribution (less variance in the rate) than shown in figure 2 and thereby can provide more even throughput to users and increase the sum of throughput of all users in a sector, i.e., the sector throughput.

This system can be thought of as a time reuse system in which different sectors use different time slots to boost SIR of users in the respective sectors. Unlike a frequency reuse system, the time reuse pattern can be easily adjusted dynamically based on an SIR measurement, the location of the user, the application type being run on the user's device, or configuration data such as a fairness setting, e.g., a setting that guarantees a certain limit on the ratio of the maximum and minimum user throughput or a minimum throughput. Also, the time reuse pattern may be disabled easily.

Although we shall explain the benefits of time division multiplexing among sectors in the context of an example that concerns 1xEV-DO systems, benefits can be achieved in other wireless systems, including TDMA, CDMA, and OFDM systems.

Let  $M$  be the number of sectors in a cell. We assume a frequency reuse factor of one, i.e., every sector in a cell uses the same frequency. We assume that the same number,  $K$ , of active MSs are operating in each sector. The analysis can be generalized to cover



cases in which different numbers of MSs are operating in respective sectors.

We assume each MS chooses the best serving sector from which to download data, although, in reality, there can be some delay in switching sectors.

We consider two cases of time reuse: fixed and adaptive. In a fixed time reuse pattern, sectors are turned off at times that are defined by a pre-determined pattern. In an adaptive reuse pattern, the timing of the turning off of sectors depends on the status of the system such as the next time slot usage in each sector. For example, when a low-rate user is using the next time slot in a sector, some neighbor sectors can be turned off during the slot to help the disadvantaged user.

*Fixed reuse pattern*

Let  $S$  be a local group of sectors in a cell whose transmission states (on and off) will be controlled jointly.  $S$  could be fewer than all of the sectors in the cell. Assume that the pattern of on and off states is repeated in successive control periods, and that each control period includes a number  $L$  of time slots. Let  $S_i$  be the set of sectors allowed to transmit in the time slot  $T_i$ , where  $i = 1, \dots, L$ . Such a pattern is illustrated in the following table for the case of four sectors in  $S$  and four time slots. The lengths of time slots  $T_i$ 's can be different in general.

	T1	T2	T3	T4
Sector 1	On	Off	On	Off
Sector 2	Off	On	On	Off
Sector 3	On	Off	Off	On
Sector 4	Off	On	Off	On

In this example,  $S = \{\text{Sectors 1, 2, 3, and 4}\}$ ,  $S1 = \{\text{Sectors 1 and 3}\}$ ,  $S2 = \{\text{Sectors 2 and 4}\}$ ,  $S3 = \{\text{Sectors 1 and 2}\}$ , and  $S4 = \{\text{Sectors 3 and 4}\}$ .

Another example is shown in Figure 1, where  $S$  contains three sectors in a cell,  $S1 = \{A\}$ ,  $S2 = \{B\}$ ,  $S3 = \{C\}$ , and there are three time slots  $T1 = T2 = T3 = T$ .

In Figure 3, we show the percentage distribution of users by rate for this case, representing a clear improvement in the throughput compared to the distribution of figure 2. (Because each of the sectors is active only for  $1/3$  of the time, the throughput needs to be scaled down by a factor of 3.)

We use two quantities to measure performance. We define the equal-time throughput  $E[R]$ , where  $R$  is the instantaneous rate, per cell per carrier as the expected cell throughput per carrier (the 1.25 MHz band in case of 1xEV-DO), i.e., the average rate of a user randomly located in the sector. This is the cell throughput per carrier under the condition that every user gets the same amount of serving time. We define the equal-data throughput  $1/E[1/R]$  per

cell per carrier as the expected cell throughput per carrier when each user downloads the same amount of data independent of its channel condition, which is equal to the inverse of the expected value of the inverse of the rate  $R$ . These throughput values will be scaled down by the reuse factor to include the effect of reduced time usage due to time reuse.

In the example above (figure 1), we get the following simulation results assuming 19 hexagonal three-sectored cells:

	$E[R]$	$1/E[1/R]$	Figure
Time reuse = 1	2923 kbps	1520 kbps	2
Time reuse = 3	2105 kbps	1864 kbps	3
Gain	-28%	23%	

Although we loose 28% in equal-time throughput, we gain 23% in equal-data throughput when the time reuse = 3 is used. Therefore, the time reuse of three in this example improves performance of systems with high fairness among users.

In 1xEV-DO, even when the data portion of a time slot is empty, the pilot portion is transmitted. The MS then determines its DRC (Data Rate Control, i.e., the rate at which the MS asks a sector to send data in the forward link) based on the pilot only. Therefore, even when the data portions of time slots from interfering sectors are empty, and therefore the MS could receive at high rate, its DRC will still be low. Even though the BS normally would transmit to the sector at the rate (here, the low rate) that the MS has requested, it is still possible to take advantage of the boosted channel condition that time reuse provides. For example, 1xEV-

Attorney Docket 12144-009001

DO uses HARQ, which permits the MS to send an ACK signal to alert the sector to stop transmission of the remaining slots of multi-slot packets and thereby effectively increase the transmission rate.

*Adaptive reuse pattern*

Forward link capacity can be improved by a time reuse scheme that is adaptive. Let  $I = \{I_i \mid i = 1, \dots, M - 1\}$  represent the set of interferences from other sectors, where  $I_i$  denotes the ratio of the interference from the  $i$ -th sector to the power from the serving sector for a user (the serving sector is the sector from which the user is receiving packets),  $M \gg 1$  is the total number of sectors. Let  $N$  denote the ratio of the noise to the power in the serving sector. We assume  $I_i$  and  $N$  are random variables that depend on the user location and shadow fading. To simplify the derivation, we assume no Rayleigh fading (thus no multi-user diversity gain).

Then, channel capacity  $C$  is given by

$$C = \log_2 \left( 1 + \frac{1}{N + I_A} \right) \text{ [b/s/Hz]},$$

where  $I_A = \sum(I_i, i = 1, \dots, M-1)$  is the aggregate interference from other sectors.

Let  $m = m(I_A)$  be the number of other sectors with largest  $I_i$ 's to be turned off during transmission for the current user.  $m$  is a random variable that depends on  $I_A$  (or equivalently the DRC of the user with a little less accuracy).  $m$  could be a function of  $I_i$ 's in general, but that could make the system too complicated.

Attorney Docket 12144-009001

Let  $q(N,I)$  be the relative serving time for a user characterized by  $\{N,I\}$ , i.e.,  $E[q(N,I)] = 1$ . For example, for Qualcomm's fair proportional scheduler (Qualcomm, *1xEV Scheduler: Implementation of the Proportional Fair Algorithm*, Application

Note, 80-85573-1 X5, June 27, 2001), if all queue's are backlogged, or when each user receives data whose amount is proportional to its supportable rate, then  $q(N,I) = 1$ . If every user has the same amount of data to receive, then  $q(N,I) = 1/R(N,I) / E[1/R(N,I)]$ , where  $R(N,I)$  is the rate supportable at  $\{N,I\}$ . We simply denote  $q(N,I)$  by  $q$  and call it the user bandwidth vector.

Let  $\alpha$  denote  $\frac{E[q]}{E[q(m+1)]} = \frac{1}{E[q(m+1)]}$ , which is the average fraction of time a sector is turned on during the period assuming there is no sector with an empty queue. The channel capacity  $C'$  of this time reuse scheme becomes:

$$C' = \alpha \log_2 \left( 1 + \frac{1}{N + \beta(I_A - I_m)} \right) [\text{b/s/Hz}],$$

where  $I_m$  = sum of  $m$  largest  $I_i$ 's.  $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$  is the factor that reduces the interference as a bonus of turning off some sectors. That is, turning off some sectors not only reduces the interference  $I_A$  by  $I_m$ , but also reduces the interference further because other sectors are also turned off during the period. Because there is no HARQ for high rate packets, i.e., one-slot packets with rates 1842.3 or 2457.6 kbps, these rates will not usually benefit from the reduced  $\beta$ . This effect would produce only a minor degradation on  $R'$  when  $q'$  is inversely proportional to  $R'$ . If there is an

Attorney Docket 12144-009001

adaptive DRC estimation algorithm employed in MSs that can estimate the increased SNR due to some silent sectors, the 1843.2 kbps rate would benefit, i.e., it could become 2457.6 kbps sometimes.

If we make the unrealistic assumption that sectors are perfectly coordinated so that when a sector needs to be turned off in the next time slot to boost the SIR of a MS, it does not have any packet to send in the slot, we get  $\beta = \alpha$ . Because there is some correlation in the times when neighbor sectors are turned off,  $\beta$  would usually be slightly larger than  $\alpha$ . The difference would widen (slightly) if  $m$  is large because other sectors will have less chance to be turned off in that  $m$  sectors are already turned off and there is no traffic in those  $m$  sectors. However,  $E[\beta]$  would be close to  $\alpha$ . Therefore, we assume  $\beta = \alpha$ .

#### *Analysis and simulation results*

Because channel capacity increases only logarithmically at large SNR, and the adaptive reuse technique does not help high rate communications, we arrange to turn off sectors only for users with low rates. Using a low-SNR approximation, we get the achievable rate  $R$ , i.e.,

$$R \approx \lambda \frac{1}{N + I_A} \text{ [b/s/Hz]}, \quad (1)$$

where  $\lambda \approx 0.5$  at rates 38.4 ~ 1228.8 Kbps and  $\lambda \approx 0.25$  at rates 1843.2 ~ 2457.6 Kbps in a 1xEV-DO system. Because we are not attempting any improvement for high rate users, we can safely

Attorney Docket 12144-009001

assume  $\lambda = 0.5$  for the analysis of the throughput improvement for low-rate users.

The improved rate  $R'$  becomes

$$R' \approx \lambda \frac{\alpha}{N + \beta(I_A - I_m)} \text{ [b/s/Hz]}.$$

5

This approximation will be accurate if the improved SNR =

$$\frac{1}{N + \beta(I_A - I_m)} \text{ is less than about two, i.e., we can still assume}$$

$$\lambda = 0.5. \text{ We use } \alpha = \frac{1}{E[q'(m+1)]} \text{ for the adaptive reuse case,}$$

since  $q'$  depends on the improved  $R'$  in general.

10

Based on the user bandwidth vectors  $q$  and  $q'$  for the original case (time reuse = 1) and the adaptive reuse case, we get the sector throughputs  $S = E[q R]$  and  $S' = E[q' R']$  for the original case and the adaptive reuse case, respectively. In the following throughput analysis, we demonstrate how much gain we can get using adaptive reuse.

15

*Case I (time reuse = 1)*

For case I,  $q = 1/R / E[1/R]$  and  $q' = 1/R' / E[1/R']$

In this case, we assume  $\beta = \alpha$ .  $S$  and  $S'$  become

$$S \approx \frac{1}{E[N/\lambda] + E[I_A/\lambda]} \text{ and}$$

$$S' \approx \frac{1}{E[N/\lambda]/\alpha + E[I_A/\lambda] - E[I_m/\lambda]}.$$

If N is sufficiently small (if not coverage limited, i.e., cell sizes are small), then S' will be always greater than S. Although  $\lambda$  depends on the rate R and R' for the original and the adaptive cases, respectively, we simply assume  $\lambda$  is a function of R because we are not attempting to increase R' for high rate users and in this case  $\lambda$  is almost constant anyway.

Assuming N = 0, we get

$$\alpha = \frac{E[(I_A - I_m)/\lambda]}{E[(I_A - I_m)(m+1)/\lambda]}.$$

In this case, the throughput gain  $g = S' / S$  becomes

$$g = \frac{E[I_A/\lambda]}{E[(I_A - I_m)/\lambda]}.$$

We assume hexagonal three-sectored cells, an antenna pattern defined in the 1xEV-DV evaluation methodology document (3GPP2, 1xEV-DV Evaluation Methodology – Addendum (V5)), and shadow fading of 8.9 dB with base station correlation of 0.5. We randomly locate 10,000 users uniformly and find the serving sector and the set of interferences I for each user. The following table summarizes the rate R and its occurrence.



Rate [kbps]	Fraction of users
38.4	0.0002
76.8	0.0169
153.6	0.0875
307.2	0.2116
614.4	0.1749
921.6	0.0979
1228.8	0.1898
1843.2	0.0702
2457.6	0.1510

We choose the distribution of  $m$  as a function of  $R$  to maximize the gain  $g$  given that  $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$  for various thresholds  $\alpha_0$ . We show results for different values of  $\alpha_0$ . Although we get better results by reducing  $\alpha$ , making  $\alpha$  too small would have undesirable effects such as increasing noise  $N$  by  $1/\alpha$ . We limit  $m$  to be less than or equal to 0, 10, 5, and 1 for  $R = 38.4, 76.8, 153.6$ , and  $307.2$ , respectively. We set  $m = 0$  for  $R = 38.4$  kbps because it does not affect the performance much due to its small probability of occurrence. For rates  $> 307.2$  kbps, we assume  $m = 0$ . The following table shows optimized  $m(R)$ 's for various thresholds  $\alpha_0$ .

Attorney Docket 12144-009001

$\alpha_0$	$\{m(76.8), m(153.6), m(307.2)\}$	$g^{-1}$	$\alpha$
0.9	$\{1,0,0\}$	1%	0.93
0.8	$\{10,0,0\}$	7%	0.81
0.7	$\{2,0,1\}$	17%	0.71
0.6	$\{1,1,1\}$	24%	0.62
0.5	$\{0,5,1\}$	41%	0.52
0.0	$\{10,5,1\}$	55%	0.42

For example, the final line of the table indicates that a throughput gain of 55% is possible if the number of sectors that are turned off for each of the three rates indicated at the top of the table are respectively 10, 5, and 1. The average time during which sectors are turned off is 58%.

This result shows that turning off as many sectors as possible results in the best performance. The adaptive reuse scheme for 38.4 Kbps users does not change the above result much because they do not occur often anyway, but increasing  $m$  for those users will improve their user experience.

The following table summarizes how much gain is possible for each rate when  $\{10,5,1\}$  is used for the  $m(R)$ 's. It shows that the adaptive reuse scheme can improve the throughput of low rate users by as much as 352% even after the penalty due to silent

Attorney Docket 12144-009001

5

periods. The improved rates divided by  $\alpha$  are all within our valid approximation range. However, some users may have highly improved rates that are outside our valid approximation range. Because these numbers already include the penalty that we are not using all time slots, this throughput gain is the real gain in user's experience.

Original rate [kbps]	Improved rate [kbps]	Throughput gain
76.8	347	352%
153.6	513	234%
307.2	500	62%

### Case II

For case II,  $q = q' = 1$

In this case, S and S' become

$$S \approx E \left[ \frac{\lambda}{N + I_A} \right] \text{ and } S' \approx E \left[ \frac{\alpha \lambda}{N + \beta(I_A - I_m)} \right].$$

Using the same assumptions as in the first case, we get

$$\alpha = 1 / E[m + 1]$$

and

$$g = \frac{E[\alpha\lambda / \beta(I_A - I_m)]}{E[\lambda / I_A]},$$

where we assume  $\beta = \alpha$  for  $R \leq 1.2288$  Mbps and  $\beta = 1$  for  $R > 1.2288$  Mbps because high rates do not benefit much from silent sectors and this will have a more significant effect on the throughput gain than in the first case.

In this case, the maximum gain  $g$  of one is achieved when  $m$  is always zero for all  $R$ . This means the adaptive reuse should not be used for this traffic model, which is intuitive because all rates are fair in this case.

### *Examples*

In this section, we discuss examples of an adaptive time reuse scheme.

As shown in figure 4, the sector control arrangements 52 can be implemented in software, firmware, or hardware running on a base station 50. The sector control arrangements include sector controllers (schedulers) 40, 42, 44 that determine which users in a sector will be served and provide control signals 54 that control the transmission state of the sector antennas 56, 58, ..., 60.

Let  $Q_i(R)$  be a set of sectors that ought to be turned off when the  $i$ -th sector 58 is transmitting to a user with rate  $R$  61. Let  $R_0$  denote a set of rates considered as low rates that need to be boosted by turning off some neighbor sectors. With respect to sector  $i$ , the

Attorney Docket 12144-009001

scheduler 42 first determines to which user in the sector to give the next time slot if it is available. If the rate  $R$  of the user to whom it will give the time slot is in the set  $R_0$ , then the scheduler for that sector requests neighbor sectors, e.g., sector 56 in  $Q_i(R)$  not to schedule any packet in the next slot if possible. The scheduler 42 schedules a packet to the user 61 regardless of any message to turn off the sector  $i$  it might receive from some other sectors.

Otherwise, if the rate  $R$  is not within the set  $R_0$ , the scheduler for the  $i$ -th sector waits for any request from neighbor sectors who have the sector  $i$  in any of their sets  $Q_j(R)$  for any neighbor sector  $j$  and any  $R$ . If there is no such request, the  $i$ -th sector schedules the packet for the user.

Although figure 4 implies that the control of the sectors by the sector control arrangements and the sector controllers must occur locally to the BS, the control of sectors can also be handled globally as among different cells and sectors in different cells. Global coordination requires a fast means of communication among BS's, which is not always possible. Local coordination is usually feasible because all decisions are local to a BS.

As another simple example, the fixed reuse pattern example with the reuse factor of three can be modified to produce an adaptive pattern. Assume it is time for sector A to transmit while the other two sectors in the cell are forced to remain silent. Instead of turning off all the other sectors, we may want to allow some of these sectors to transmit at times when the transmission rate in sector A is higher than a threshold, provided that the requested

transmission rates of other sectors are also higher than some other thresholds.

Other implementations are within the scope of the following claims. For example, the transmission power in some sectors might be reduced rather than being shut off completely in a cellular system where the transmission power can be controlled.